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Violence perpetrated by a current or former boyfriend, cohabiting partner, husband, or date

- Physical violence
- Sexual violence
- Stalking
- Control of reproductive or sexual health
- Aggressive/coercive tactics
- Emotional abuse
Defining the terms used to discuss sexual violence

- **Rape**: complete or unwanted vaginal, oral, or anal penetration through the use of physical force, threats, or intoxication
  - Completed forced penetration
  - Attempted forced penetration
  - Completed alcohol or drug facilitated penetration

- **Being made to penetrate someone else**

- **Sexual coercion**: pressured in a non-physical way, bullying

- **Unwanted sexual contact**: touching, kissing in a sexual way, fondling

- **Non-contact unwanted sexual experience**: doesn’t involve touching or penetration
Why do we use the term “intimate partner” violence?

- VAW - 64% by intimate partner
- Rape 51.1% by intimate partner
  40.8% by an acquaintance

91.9% known to victim

NISVS CDC 2014
1.3 million women raped in year preceding survey
1/5 women raped in their lifetime (1/71 men)
1/6 women stalked (1/19 men)
1/4 women experience severe physical violence by intimate partner (1/7 men)
81% women who experience rape, stalking, physical violence report significant long and short term effects (35% of men)
1/3 injured women who experience SV seek treatment
1/5 black and white women, 1/7 Hispanic women raped in lifetime
Age at victim’s completed first rape
NISVS CDC, 2014

~ 80% Women experience first rape by age 25
(42% by age 18)
Intersection of IPV and HIV

- > 2X national average
  - 55% of HIV-infected women experienced IPV
  - 39% experienced childhood sexual abuse
  - 42% experienced childhood physical abuse

- 0.5-4% of women experience violence with disclosure of HIV serostatus

- 4X risk of ARV failure in women with recent abuse

Geilen 2007, Machtinger 2012
Ramifications of intimate partner violence
NISVS CDC 2014

- Missed at least 1 day school/work
- Injury
- Concern for safety
- PTSD Spx
- Fearful
- Any impact

Graph showing percentages of men and women affected by different ramifications of intimate partner violence.
Relationship between SV and HIV in women
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Compromised Negotiation
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Analyzing sexual violence and HIV risk in women: historical approach

• Behavioral analysis
  • Low self esteem
  • Increased partners
  • Increased risky sexual acts
  • Unbalanced sexual power dynamic
  • Increased risk for repeat sexual violence

• Programmatic response
  • Societal programming
  • Women’s education and empowerment
  • Men’s education
  • Legal intervention
Sexual Violence, Genitoanal Injury and HIV

The Physiology of Sexual Violence and HIV Transmission Risk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transmission Efficacy</th>
<th>Host Immunology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location, Severity, Frequency, Typology</td>
<td>Age, Epithelium, Reproductive cycle, Cervical ectopy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Male Risk Factors
- Circumcision
- Viremia
- STIs/TB

Pharmacologic Prevention
- PeP
- PReP
- ARVs
- Microbicides

Anatomic Factors
- Injectable/oral contraception

Endogenous Hormones
- STIs/TB

Opportunistic & Co-infections

Host Immunity

Mucosal Transmission

Greentree, AJRI 2012
Sexual Violence (SV) and HIV risk in women tipping point

   • Biomedical, behavioral, social sciences and HIV risk
   • Guidance for future HIV research

2. Social Science Research Council, UNAIDS, OAR
   • Informal then formal discussions (2010, 2011)


4. Sexual violence and HIV risk Greentree meeting (April, 2012)
   • Outlining and modeling biomedical risk
   • Defining a research agenda

5. IPV and HIV Trans governmental working group
Analyzing sexual violence and HIV risk: broadening the conversation to include biomedical risk

- Biomedical considerations (Greentree meeting NY 4/2012)
  - Tissue damage
    - Violent sexual encounter
    - Unwanted sex act
    - Female genital cutting (FGC)
  - Future research
    - How does SV at the time and repeated episodes affect genital tract immunology, microbiome?
    - How do these alterations impact women and girls’ risk?
      - During the event
      - Over a lifetime
    - How to model SV in HIV risk and prevention research?
- Priority in Trans-NIH Plan for HIV-related Research
- Research supplements for pilot data on biological relationship
- RFAs to understand genital tract immunology
- Interventions in girls and boys
Understanding the HIV risk and SV connection influences current research

- Defining the scope of the problem
  - Collecting the numbers
    - Data on sex \(\rightarrow\) data on sexual violence
  - Linking biomedical research with behavioral and social sciences research

- Multi-disciplinary HIV prevention research
  - Understanding the impact biomedical and behavioral causes
  - Testing integrated prevention approaches

- Multidisciplinary HIV prevention interventions
  - Preventing sexual violence and preventing the \textit{IMPACT} of sexual violence
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Report objectives, 2013

• Increase IPV screening and HIV testing for girls and women and encourage concurrent screening.
• Improve outcomes for women in HIV care by addressing violence and trauma.
• Address certain contributing factors that increase the risk of violence for women and girls living with HIV.
• Expand public outreach, education, and prevention efforts regarding HIV and violence against women and girls.
• Support research to better understand the scope of the intersection of HIV/AIDS and violence against women and girls and develop effective interventions.
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• Expand public outreach, education, and prevention efforts regarding HIV and violence against women and girls.
• Support research to better understand the scope of the intersection of HIV/AIDS and violence against women and girls and develop effective interventions.
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HIV/IPV Connections – Etiology
(Maman et. al. ’99 & since) >0-3.7%

• Impossible to negotiate safe sex if IPV – well substantiated – multiple studies
• Women accused of infidelity if ask for safe sex
• Males with other partners unknown to women (WHO’04)
• Fear of being beaten for being tested; notifying partner of positive status; delay in treatment
• Substance abuse (increased substance abuse w/IPV)
  • Immune system depression with stress
    – 2010 - immune system alteration with stress of IPV, PTSD
• Genital trauma-increased transmission; anal sex
  – More severe forced sex, multiple forced sex
• Increased STD’s & untreated STD’s – increased transmission through vaginal wall – activated immune system

- 101 articles - Experiencing IPV & HIV associated in unadjusted analysis in most & in adjusted analyses in many studies
  - Clear that abused women more likely to have HIV and experience various HIV risk behaviors
  - However, causality not yet shown and causal mechanisms not yet well documented

- Findings of qualitative & quantitative studies assessing potential mechanisms linking IPV and HIV were variable.

- Few interventions have been assessed, but two identified in this review were promising in terms of preventing IPV, though not HIV infection
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Conceptual Framework
Pathways from GBV to (and from) HIV infection

- Gender-based violence
  - IPV (physical, sexual, emotional)
  - Sexual violence (outside intimate partnerships)
  - Violence against female sex workers
  - Violence against female migrants and laborers
  - Includes marital rape

Indirect transmission

- Risky sex practices
  - Multiple partners
  - Concurrent partners
  - Transactional sex
  - Sex work
  - Sex with drugs/alcohol

Forced sex / rape
(IP & non partner)

Indirect transmission

- Compromised self-protection
  - No/low condom use
  - Lack of choice about sex (frequency/type)
  - Not empowered to test for HIV, get results, disclose, etc.

More risky sex partners
- More likely to have HIV/STIs
- More sexual risk taking – including multiple partners
- More controllable/violent

HIV screening
- Requesting HIV test
- Getting HIV test
- Disclosing HIV+ results to partner

- Immune System Dysregulation
- STI's

- Trauma Response
- PTSD

HIV disease progression

1-way solid arrow denotes direct link between IPV and HIV
2-way dotted arrow denotes indirect link between IPV and HIV
Multiple US Samples

• 35-45% of physically abused women also physically forced into sex
• If asked, majority say multiple – many times
• If asked, a substantial proportion (up to ½) of forced sex was anal sex
Forced First Sex/Sexual Initiation

- Forced first sex (sexual initiation) as a result of IPV ("dating violence") (Stockman et al, 2012)
- Forced first sex 21% of sexual initiation for girls in the US whose sexual debut < 14 yo (Stockman et al ‘09)
- First sexual violence in an ongoing violent relationship?
- In US – anal sex not considered “sexual intercourse” (or “real sex”) by many adolescents – therefore “safe sex” practices not necessary & can remain “abstinent” even if anal sex
  - Abusive young men exploit these myths
  - “He’ll either hit me or quit me” (Sweet-Jemmott ‘05)
Globally – women dying in 3:1 ratios from AIDS & majority of new cases – young women especially

• In the US:
  – 48,000 new HIV infections ‘09 – women majority (Prejean et al., 2011)
  – African American women - 66% new dx among women in ‘09 (CDC, ‘11)
  – AIDS a leading cause of death for African American women (CDC 2010)
    – #7 age 15-24
    – #5 age 25-34
    – #4 age 35-44
    – #6 age 45-54
  – African American women disproportionately affected by IPV (CDC 2011)
  – 87% of new dx among women -heterosexual contact (CDC, 2011).
ACAAWS Study
Baltimore & USVI

- One of aims to determine to what extent a history of IPV is a risk factor for physical and mental health conditions including STD's/HIV and associated risk behaviors
  - Baltimore and USVI both have among highest rates of HIV/AIDS among women
  - N = 440 cases & 340 controls (never abused)
Lifetime IPA (cases)

(n=543)

- **Physical**: 170 (31%)
- **Psychological**: 72 (13%)
- **Sexual**: 163 (30%)
Recent (past 2 Year) IPV

- Physical: 196 (51%)
- Psychological: 79 (21%)
- Sexual: 98 (26%)

Total: n=382
Results – ACAAWS 2012

- Of 422 African American and African Caribbean women who experienced physical abuse:
  - 157 (37%) reported an experience of forced sex – by partner - majority said forced sex repeated (many times)
  - 31 of 123 (23%) of those experiencing forced sex (who responded to question) reported forced anal sex -
% with High Risk Behaviors - HIV

Stockman et al, *AIDS Care* 2013

P < .05
% with HIV Risk Factors

Stockman et al, *AIDS Care* 2013
Discussion of Findings

– In Baltimore – Recent IPV significantly associated with inconsistent condom use  AOR =.24 (0.080.72)
  – Forced sex associated with inconsistent condom use - Anal Sex

– Less than half women, abused or not, engage in risky sex behaviors – less than 25% USVI women – significantly less likely than women in Baltimore

– Few demographics independently related to exchange sex or other woman’s risk behaviors -

– Recent IPV & past year drug use both independently associated with exchange sex
IMMUNE SYSTEM EFFECTS

• HPA axis – hypothalamic – pituitary – adrenal gland interactions

• Stress of abuse, multiplied by poverty, racism for women of color, other stressors – but even separate from other stressors -activates HPA & produces corticosteroids & catecholamines

• Suppresses Th1 cell cytokine (fights bacteria & viruses) production

• Depression has same effects on immune system

• May result in lowered immunity to HIV

• May contribute to faster decrease in CD4 count, more development of complications of AIDS, more death

  – Stress/PTSD/depression leads to decreased CD4 counts in HIV+ women

  – Ickovics, ‘01; Leserman ‘03, ‘08
PTSD Immune System Alteration

Trauma → PTSD → HPA Axis Alterations

Insufficient Regulation of Immune Function

Th₁
INF
IL-2
IL-8
IL-12

Th₀
IL-1
TNF-a
IL-6
Increased Acute Phase Reaction

Th₂
IL-4
IL-5
IL-13
IL-10

Imbalance of Immune Functions

Health Declines

Increased Cell Mediated Immunity

Decreased Humoral Immunity

Gill ‘07
Physiological Effects of IPV on Immune System Not totally clear

• PTSD & co-morbidity differential effects (Woods ‘04)?
• Immune system dysfunction is both suppression AND activation
• Inflammation markers C-reactive protein (CRP) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) increase w/IPV – Newton ‘11; Granger, S. Woods – ‘11
• Multiple physical injuries – e.g. strangulation, TBI, also leads to immune system effects
• Immune system activation leads to decreased vaginal wall barrier to HIV virus – immune system activated with STI’s also – IPV associated with increased STI’s
Need More Answers

• STI interactions – repeated, multiple, untreated, affecting immune system – inflammation significantly increased acquisition of HIV – multiple immunology studies
• Issues of menstrual cycle, young age
• Friability of urinary & vaginal tissue – increased by inflammation?
• Interactions with chronic pain
• How to measure, when to measure –
• How much of sex & racial differences in HIV (transmission, progression, mortality) related to ongoing SV (IPV)
• How to fully capture complexity of female humans – physiological as well as psychosocial effects of trauma
Physiological Model For IPV-HIV Acquisition/Progression (Campbell et al in press)

- Through Multiple Injuries
- Through other STI's
- IPV
- Bio-logical Inflammatory Response (CRP)
- Altered Stress Response (HPA)
- PTSD
- CSA
- Anal Sex*

*Intimate Partner Sexual Assault – severity, repetition, if anal

HIV/AIDS Acquisition/Progression
CD4 decrease
Co-Infections
Interventions that work

- DOVE intervention in home visitation – decreases IPV among pregnant women (Sharps et al 2014); Tiwari (Hong Kong); Keily (USA) interventions in prenatal care
- Testing combination of Sister to Sister (Sweet-Jemmott) & DOVE in USVI
- IMAGE trial in South Africa – microfinance and community based interventions – decreased IPV among women
- Stepping Stones (Jewkes 2012) in South Africa – decreases IPV perpetration but not HIV
- SASA Trial – Uganda – Abramsky... Michau, Watts et al. BMC Medicine 2014, 12:122 – community based activism – Raising Voices – significantly less concurrent sex by male partners, more ability to refuse sex by women, less community acceptance of IPV; also 52% less IPV but not significant.
- SHARE Trial – Wagman 2014 – clinical trial in Uganda – reduced IPV and HIV – combination of community and work with individual women
- Maman trials in Tanzania – addressing IPV in HIV testing and counseling – promising preliminary results
SASA – “now” - Start, Awareness, Support and Action – involves community members, leaders & institutions to build critical mass

- Learn about community
- Select community activists
- Fostering power among staff

- Helping activists gain confidence
- Encourage critical thinking about men’s power over women

- Strengthening skills & connections btw community members
- Power with others to sustain change

- Trying new behaviors, celebrating change
- Fostering power to make positive change
Once women (and men) HIV+

- Need for HIV care providers of women and men – screen for HIV, collaborate with DV advocacy organizations, connect the dots for them in terms of interference with medications etc
- Need to start incorporating physiological considerations into monitoring & treatment
- Need for shelters/DV advocacy organizations be comfortable with HIV prevention AND care
- HIV testing and counseling – needs to take into account IPV – routine screening for IPV
- HIV/IPV Inter-agency Task Force Report 2013
- Suicide and homicide risk
Moving Forward

- Exciting New Collaborative interdisciplinary research teams of basic sciences, physiology, epidemiologists, behavioral & clinical scientists – e.g. ESSENCE study; J. Anderson F31
- Need for more research – how much of racial/ethnic inequities in HIV prevalence & deaths related to IPV & testing combined interventions
  - But enough evidence to fully include IPV in HIV Tx & Prevention programs – e.g. discordant couple counseling - trauma informed
    - Screening for IPV & HIV in pregnant women
    - Screening for IPV & HIV risk behaviors in all women
- National strategies - include measurable GBV/HIV outcomes
- Work with medicaid & HRSA to implement screening & brief counseling for IPV into ALL primary and ongoing services care for women – include measurable outcomes related to gender & health inequities
Eddy Machtinger, MD
Director, Women’s HIV Program, University of California, San Francisco
Women, Violence and HIV
Experiences from the clinical frontline
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Recent Deaths at WHP

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Rose murder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Shelly murder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Ladonna suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Ella suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Vela suicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Dorothy addiction/overdose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Mary addiction/lung failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Paula addiction/multi-organ failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Lilly Pancreatic cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Pebbles non-adherence/OI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rates of trauma and PTSD in WLHIV are much higher

### Meta-analysis of all studies among US WLHIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Number of Studies</th>
<th>Pooled n</th>
<th>Prevalence (%)</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Reference Prevalence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intimate Partner Violence</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2285</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>36.1 - 73.8</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood Sexual Abuse</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3013</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>33.9 - 44.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood Physical Abuse</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>31.5 - 54.4</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood Abuse Unspecified</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>36.0 - 78.8</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime Sexual Abuse</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>47.7 - 73.8</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime Abuse Unspecified</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1065</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>61.0 - 81.1</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent PTSD</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>18.8 - 42.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29 studies met our inclusion criteria, resulting in a sample of 5,930 individuals.

Rates of trauma and PTSD in WLHIV are much higher
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Siemieniuk RA, et al. AIDS Patient Care STDs. 2010
Lesserman, J. et al. AIDS PATIENT CARE and STDs. 2008

Evidence-based interventions exist

Screening tools are accurate: fifteen studies evaluated 13 screening instruments, and six instruments were highly accurate;

Interventions can reduce IPV: four fair- and good-quality RCTs reported reduced IPV and improved birth outcomes for pregnant women, reduced IPV for new mothers, and reduced pregnancy coercion and unsafe relationships for women in family-planning clinics;

Screening for IPV is safe: fourteen studies indicated minimal adverse effects with screening

Screening alone without an intervention does not appear to be better than usual care

National Registry of Evidence-Based Program and Practices (US):

24 interventions for various types of trauma
14 interventions for PTSD

Examples Include:
Seeking Safety
Trauma Recovery and Empowerment Model (TREM)
Living in the Face of Trauma (LIFT)
Eye Movement and Desensitization and Reprocessing
Prolonged Exposure Therapy for PTSD

Many other evidence-based interventions
Skills Training in Affective & Interpersonal Regulation (STAIR)
Enhanced Sexual Health Intervention (ESHI)
International: Stepping Stones, IMAGE
National Calls to Action

Review

Screening Women for Intimate Partner Violence
A Systematic Review to Update the 2004 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation
Mark D. Saltz, M.D., MPH; Elyse M. Mitchell, M.D., M.P.H.; Elizabeth Hedges, M.P.H.

Background: In 2004, the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommended that clinicians be mindful to support screening women for intimate partner violence (IPV). The purpose of this review was to summarize the evidence and recommendations for screening for intimate partner violence (IPV) and related health outcomes. The expanded scope of this review included new evidence and interventions.

Objectives: To summarize new evidence on intimate partner violence and related health outcomes; to identify effective screening tools; to assess the evidence for the effectiveness of interventions; and to provide clinical practice recommendations.

Methods: The evidence was reviewed for women 18 years and older in health care settings who are not pregnant and who are not in need of emergency services. The evidence was synthesized and graded using established criteria.

Key Findings: Life-long risk for IPV and related health outcomes can be reduced by screening for IPV and offering a range of interventions. Screening for IPV is an important component of women's health care.

Conclusion: Women who are screened for IPV and offered interventions are more likely to experience health benefits than those who are not screened. The evidence base for screening for IPV and offering interventions is strong. The benefits of screening for IPV and offering interventions are substantial and the costs are low.

The ISTSS Expert Consensus Treatment Guidelines For Complex PTSD In Adults

November 2012

Complete by the Complex Trauma Task Force (CTTF): Maryline Cloitre, Claire Courtois, Adolfo Echeburúa, Marsha Linehan, Stephen Pynoos, Samuel Van der Kolk, and Judith Lewis

Clinical Preventive Services for Women
Closing the Gaps

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Women's HIV Program at UCSF
Now specifically recognized in WLHIV

Recommended Action 2.2:

“Develop, implement, and evaluate models that integrate trauma-informed care into services for women living with HIV”.

Interagency Federal Working Group Report

Addressing the Intersection of HIV/AIDS, Violence against Women and Girls, & Gender-Related Health Disparities

September 2013
For us, an incredible epiphany

• Unaddressed trauma explains so much…

• We are not using existing effective interventions to address trauma and complex PTSD that underlie so much illness and suffering

• Surprisingly, there is scant guidance about models to address trauma
An evidence-based pragmatic model

- Partnered with PWN-USA
- To develop a model of TIPC for women living with HIV
- Literature review
- Expert meeting
- Follow-up consultations
Next Steps

• Implement and evaluate trauma-informed primary care for WLHIV

• Scale-up effective and pragmatic interventions

• Require data about violence and PTSD to be collected and evaluated

• Raise the bar for our expectations about health outcomes for WLHIV

• To have an AIDS Free generation, we need to start caring more effectively for WLHIV

• To seriously address DV and child abuse, clinics for WLHIV offer the ideal milieu to develop effective interventions
Thank you!
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Addressing Violence & Trauma to Improve Health Outcomes: the Critical Role of Women Living with HIV

Naina Khanna
Executive Director
Positive Women’s Network - USA
"I was in an abusive relationship for 4 years. When I attempted to leave, he threatened to come after me under HIV criminalization laws because I didn’t disclose to him when we first got involved. He also threatened to have my kids taken away."

"I have felt ashamed of my body and worthless. My ex would tell me that nobody else would ever want me, because of my HIV."

"My partner didn’t want anyone else to know about my HIV status. He didn’t even allow me to see my HIV doctor, because he was afraid of people finding out. So I basically had no support and was not getting care."
WLHIV are uniquely vulnerable to violence and abuse

“There is a big black X from head to toe. I am diseased and unworthy of feeling good about my body again.”

“I feel dirty and ashamed.”

“It caused me to loose [sic] all hope as a woman where I felt ugly and that I had to settle for whatever man wanted to date me.”

“I have had a guy tell me that I should have told him before kissing him that I was positive. He was convinced HIV is transmitted through saliva. He even threw in that he could prosecute me for murder. Apparently there is grave misunderstanding about disclosure laws amongst the general public.”

… these and other factors (housing instability, economic insecurity) may complicate leaving an abusive relationship.
Man arrested in San Antonio suspected of killing woman because she had HIV

BY ALIA MALIK, SAN ANTONIO EXPRESS
7:41pm

Man who admitted killing HIV-positive girlfriend: 'I wanted to make her pay'

‘She Killed Me, So I Killed Her’: Man Allegedly Stabs Girlfriend to Death after She Tells Him She’s HIV Positive

By Madison Gray @madisonjgray | Sept. 12, 2012 | 32 Comments
Violence disproportionately impacts transgender women of color

• Almost three-quarters of LGBTQ homicide victims in 2013 were transgender women. 67% were transgender women of color

• Transgender women were 6 times more likely to experience physical violence when interacting with the police than other LGBTQ survivors of violence

• Transgender people of color were 1.8 times more likely to experience violence in shelters than other LGBTQ survivors of violence

- National Coalition of Anti-Violence Programs (NCAVP) Annual Report, 2014
Retention in Care and Viral Load Suppression by Gender – RSR 2012 data

- Male: 82.1% Retention, 75.9% Viral
- Female: 83.5% Retention, 73.1% Viral
- Transgender: 80.4% Retention, 68.9% Viral
- All: 82.5% Retention, 75.0% Viral
“Interestingly, while women had higher levels of retention [in the Ryan White program] than men, their viral suppression was lower, suggesting that there may be a significant gap in ART use and/or adherence.” – Doshi et al


Clinical Infectious Diseases Advance Access published September 15, 2014
Partnerships are Crucial

“[p]eople living with HIV have unique experiences that should be valued and relied upon as a critical source of input in setting policy” and “[g]overnments and other institutions... should work with people with AIDS coalitions, HIV services organizations, and other institutions to actively promote public leadership by people living with HIV.”

WHP establishes a formal partnership with Positive Women’s Network-USA (PWN-USA), the largest membership and advocacy group of HIV-positive women in the United States.

WHP and Positive Women’s Network-USA (PWN-USA) have established a formal partnership to realize a new model of trauma-informed primary care for US women living with HIV.

- Partnership between research clinic and community-based organization
- Consultative and advisory
- Inform & advise on best practices
- Engage WLHIV as leaders and partners in crafting programmatic response within clinic (leads on program, involvement in community advisory board) and on policy advocacy priorities
Solutions

• Integrate trauma-informed services into Ryan White care system
  – Screening, interventions, provider training

• Ensure focus on trauma & violence-related metrics in National HIV/AIDS Strategy implementation update and future iterations of the strategy

• Ensure consistent, high-quality and non-stigmatizing sexual & reproductive health services for women living with HIV
Thank you

Naina Khanna
Positive Women’s Network - USA
naina.khanna.work@gmail.com
510.681.1169
@nainadevi
@uspwn
www.pwn-usa.org
Questions?
Thanks for attending for more information.
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